2 3

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25 26

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE

YEDIDA KHADERA, KEVIN HUDSON and SAM RICHARDSON,

Plaintiffs,

v.

ABM INDUSTRIES INCORPORATED and AMERICAN BUILDING MAINTENANCE CO. - WEST.

Defendants.

No. 2:08-CV-00417-MJP

STIPULATED AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING DEADLINES FOR PRODUCTION OF ELECTRONIC MAIL AND CLASS **CERTIFICATION MOTION**

WHEREAS by its Order Granting CR 37 Joint Submission regarding Plaintiffs' various discovery requests (May 27, 2009) (dkt. no. 170), the Court has ordered Defendants ABM Industries Incorporated and ABM Janitorial Services–Northwest, Inc. (together, "ABM") to produce all electronic mail of designated custodians responsive to certain of Plaintiffs' discovery requests by July 1, 2009;

WHEREAS ABM has been working diligently to comply with the Court's Order. ABM has now collected, restored, indexed, and processed most of the data for 24 custodians, and has conducted searches using 64 search terms, as ordered by the Court. ABM also has contracted with a third-party document review services company to provide what ABM currently expects will be approximately 40 attorneys to assist in the review of documents for production to the

¹ The legal name of Defendant American Building Maintenance Co.-West has now changed to ABM Janitorial Services - Northwest, Inc.

Plaintiffs. To date, just the indexing, processing, and preliminary searching of the data, including vendor labor costs, have cost ABM tens of thousands of dollars. The next step is for ABM's defense team to review the documents using an advanced computer-based culling tool (known as "Clearwell") in order to eliminate documents that are clearly not responsive, as this is much less time-consuming than having the documents reviewed for responsiveness by human eyes and thus speeds production.

WHEREAS, despite the expense and time expended by ABM on this project to date and arrangements made for additional processing and review, it will not be able to complete production by the July 1, 2009 deadline, unless review is limited, leading to several undesirable results. First, even with an army of attorneys deployed, ABM faces the very real prospect of having to produce documents to the Plaintiffs that have not been reviewed by any attorneys, and certainly not attorneys of record. This may result in the production of documents containing confidential and sensitive business information that, in most cases, will have no bearing on Plaintiffs' claims here, and/or privileged documents. The existing deadline will likely result in an unnecessary addition to Plaintiffs' review burden, and potential damage to ABM's business interests.

WHEREAS the parties agree additional time will enable ABM to fully comply with the Court's May 27 Order while avoiding these undesirable consequences, and will further allow ABM to make productions to Plaintiffs on a rolling basis;

WHEREAS the parties recognize that an extension of the production deadline warrants an extension of the class certification briefing schedule, and that a six-week extension of the deadline for Plaintiffs to file an amended motion for class certification is reasonable in light of the extension of the production deadline and Plaintiffs' counsels' other obligations;

WHEREAS the following deadlines are currently set pursuant to the Court's Order Granting Plaintiffs' Motion for Relief From Deadline for Filing Amended Motion for Class Certification (May 27, 2009) (dkt. no. 169): Plaintiffs' amended motion for class certification is

Fax: (206) 676-7001

1	1 LAW OFFICES OF MALLISON & SUMM MARTINEZ	IIT LAW GROUP PLLC	
2			
3	3 By: s/Stan S. Mallison By: s/Stan S. Mallison By: s/Stan S. Mallison	Shannon E. Phillips	
4	4 Email: stanm@mallisonlaw.com Mo	annon E. Phillips, WSBA #25631 olly A. Terwilliger, WSBA #28449	
5		ail: shannonp@summitlaw.com ail: mollyt@summitlaw.com	
6	Marco A. Palau (Cal. SBN 242340) 315	5 Fifth Avenue South, Suite 1000 attle, Washington 98104	
	1042 Brown Avenue Tel	ephone: (206) 676-7000 esimile: (206) 676-7001	
7	Telephone: (925) 283-3842	,	
8	8 Facsimile: (925) 283-3426 Attorno	eys for Defendants	
9	Attorneys for Plaintiffs and Proposed Class Counsel		
10			
11	II. ORDER		
12	Based on the foregoing stipulation of the parties, and good cause appearing, therefore,		
13	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT the deadline for ABM's production of electronic mai		
14	and briefing deadlines on Plaintiffs' motion for class certification shall be continued to the		
15	following dates:		
16	• July 31, 2009: Defendants will complete production of electronic mail as outlined in		
17	the Court's May 27, 2009 Order, following ro	olling productions on July 10 and 20;	
18	• September 14, 2009: Plaintiffs file their amen	nded motion for class certification;	
19	October 16, 2009: Defendants file their response.	onse to Plaintiffs' motion; and	
20	November 2, 2009: Plaintiffs file their reply	in support of their motion.	
21	21		
22	DATED this 15th day of June, 2009.		
23	23		
24	Maisluf Helens		
25		Marsha J. Pechman	
26	Unit	ed States District Judge	
	20 H		